

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No. SA CV 23-2398-JWH (DFMx)

Date: October 16, 2025

Title Wingsail Holdings, LLC v. Andrew Polsky et al.

Present: The Honorable Douglas F. McCormick, United States Magistrate Judge	
Nancy Boehme	Not Present
Deputy Clerk	Court Reporter
Attorney(s) for Plaintiff(s):	Attorney(s) for Defendant(s):
Not Present	Not Present
Proceedings:	(IN CHAMBERS) Order Re: Informal Discovery Dispute (Dkt. 82)

Last week I conducted an informal discovery conference with the parties. The issue discussed at the conference was the adequacy of Plaintiffs' responses to Defendant's interrogatory nos. 2-5, 8, 10-13, 17, and 21. Plaintiffs' responses to each of these interrogatories is an identical 4-page narrative, either directly or incorporated by reference. The parties agreed that their dispute could be resolved without further briefing.

Defendant argues that Plaintiffs' narrative is evasive. I have, following the conference, gone back and reviewed Plaintiffs' narrative carefully and also reviewed Defendants' interrogatories. I come to a different conclusion. Plaintiffs' narrative is sufficient under the Federal Rules. It adequately addresses Defendant's interrogatories. Accordingly, Defendant's request for an order compelling further responses is **denied**.